



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,447	09/08/2003	Timothy Crowley	IP1806.0003	4731
83511	7590	01/13/2009	EXAMINER	
BRADFORD A. DONOVAN, P.L.L.C.			MENON, KRISHNAN S	
1126 NORTH SCOTTSDALE AVENUE, S			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TEMPE, AZ 85281			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/13/2009	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/658,447	CROWLEY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Krishnan S. Menon	1797	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Krishnan S. Menon. (3) Dr. Vincent Pizziconi, Inventor.  
 (2) Brad Donovan, Attorney of record. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 12 January 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 112.

Identification of prior art discussed: Sundberg; Brody.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed the prior arts and how the applicant's invention differed from the prior arts. Applicant also compared claim 112 with the Examiner-suggested claim. Applicant indicated taking an allowance based on the Examiner suggested claim, and then filing a CON.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Krishnan S Menon/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797	
---	--